## Case5:12-cv-00911-RMW Document31 Filed08/23/12 Page1 of 4 1 Christopher D. Banys (State Bar No. 230038) Richard C. Lin (State Bar No. 209233) 2 cdb@lanierlawfirm.com rcl@lanierlawfirm.com 3 THE LANIER LAW FIRM, P.C. 2200 Geng Road, Suite 200 4 Palo Alto, California 94303 5 Telephone: (650) 322-9100 Facsimile: (650) 322-9103 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff 7 TWIN PEAKS SOFTWARE INC. 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SAN JOSE DIVISION 12 TWIN PEAKS SOFTWARE INC. Case No. 5:12-cv-00911-RMW 13 Plaintiff, PLAINTIFF TWIN PEAKS SOFTWARE 14 INC.'S ANSWER TO DEFENDANTS RED v. 15 HAT, INC.'S AND GLUSTER, INC.'S COUNTERCLAIMS TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST RED HAT, INC. AND GLUSTER, INC., 16 AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT Defendants. **INFRINGEMENT** 17 18 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 PLAINTIFF'S ANSWER TO DEFENDANTS COUNTERCLAIMS TO AMENDED COMPLAINT CASE NO: 5:12-CV-00911-RMW

1	Plaintiff, Twin Peaks Software Inc. ("Twin Peaks") responds to the counterclaims of				
2	Defendants Red Hat, Inc. and Gluster, Inc. (collectively, "Red Hat" or "Defendants") asserted in				
3	Defendants' Answer and Counterclaims to Plaintiff Twin Peaks Software Inc.'s First Amended				
4	Complaint for Patent Infringement (Dkt. No. 28) as follows:				
5	RED HAT'S COUNTERCLAIMS FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF				
6		<b>Nature of Action</b>			
7	25.	Twin Peaks admits Paragraph 25 of the Counterclaims.			
8		<u>Parties</u>			
9	26.	Twin Peaks admits Paragraph 26 of the Counterclaims.			
10	27.	Twin Peaks admits Paragraph 27 of the Counterclaims.			
11	28.	Twin Peaks admits Paragraph 28 of the Counterclaims.			
12	Jurisdiction and Venue				
13	29.	Twin Peaks admits Paragraph 29 of the Counterclaims.			
14	30.	Twin Peaks admits Paragraph 30 of the Counterclaims.			
15	31.	Twin Peaks admits Paragraph 31 of the Counterclaims.			
16	COUNT 1				
17	(Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement)				
18	32.	Twin Peaks incorporates by reference its responses to Paragraphs 26-31 of the			
19	Counterclaims as if fully set forth herein.				
20	33.	Twin Peaks admits Paragraph 33 of the Counterclaims.			
21	34.	Twin Peaks admits that Red Hat requests, pursuant to the Federal Declaratory			
22	Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201 et seq., a declaration of the Court that Red Hat has not infringed				
23	and does not currently infringe any claim of the '439 Patent, either directly, contributorily, or by				
24	inducement. Twin Peaks denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 34 of the Counterclaims				
25	35.	Twin Peaks denies Paragraph 35 of the Counterclaims.			
26	<u>COUNT II</u>				
27	(Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity)				
28	36.	Twin Peaks incorporates by reference its responses to Paragraphs 26-35 of the			
	PLAINTIFF'S	ANSWER TO DEFENDANTS			

1	Counterclaims as if fully set forth herein.					
2	37.	7. Twin Peaks admits Paragraph 37 of the Counterclaims.				
3	38.	Twin Peaks admits that Red Hat requests, pursuant to the Federal Declaratory				
4	Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201 et seq., a declaration of the Court that the '439 Patent is invalid					
5	Twin Peaks denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 38 of the Counterclaims.					
6	39.	Twin Peaks denies Paragraph 39 of the Counterclaims.				
7	PRAYER FOR RELIEF					
8	WHEREFORE, Twin Peaks prays for judgment and seeks relief against Defendants as					
9	follows:					
10	A.	That all relief requested by Twin Peaks in its First Amended Complaint for Patent				
11		Infringement be granted.				
12	В.	That all relief requested by Defendants in their Answer and Counterclaims be				
13		denied and that Defendants take nothing by way of Counterclaims.				
14	C.	That Defendants' Counterclaims be dismissed in their entirety with prejudice.				
15	D.	That the Court grant Twin Peaks further relief as the Court deems just and proper.				
16		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL				
17	Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Twin Peaks hereby requests					
18	a trial by jury of any and all issues so triable that arise out of or relate to Defendants'					
19	Counterclain	ns.				
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

	Case5:12-cv-00911-RMW		Document31	Filed08/23/12 Page4 of 4
1	Dated:	August 23, 2012		Respectfully submitted,
2				
3				By: /s/ Richard C. Lin
4				Richard C. Lin
5				Christopher D. Banys SBN: 230038 (CA) Richard C. Lin SBN: 209233 (CA)
6				cdb@lanierlawfirm.com rcl@lanierlawfirm.com
7				THE LANIER LAW FIRM, P.C.
8				2200 Geng Road, Suite 200 Palo Alto, CA 94303
9				Tel: (650) 322-9100 Fax: (650) 322-9103
10				Attorney for Plaintiff
11				TWIN PEAKS SOFTWARE, INC.
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
		IFF'S ANSWER TO DEFEN ERCLAIMS TO AMENDED		3 CASE NO: 5:12-CV-00911-RMW